IP Indian Journal of Neurosciences 2024;10(2):69–77

Content available at: https://www.ipinnovative.com/open-access-journals

IP Indian Journal of Neurosciences

Journal homepage: https://www.ijnonline.org/

Review Article

A holistic approach to acute pain in trauma: From assessment to intervention

Akshaya N Shetti[1]*

[1]*Dept. of Anesthesiology & Critical Care, DBVPRMC, PIMS(DU), Loni, Maharashtra, India*

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 07-06-2024
Accepted 21-06-2024
Available online 27-06-2024

Keywords:
Pain
Pharmacological
Nonpharmacological
Trauma
Accident
Assessment

ABSTRACT

Effective management of acute pain in trauma situations demands a comprehensive and holistic approach that encompasses various aspects ranging from initial assessment to intervention strategies. Meticulous assessment of pain severity, characteristics, and underlying etiology is essential for tailoring individualized treatment plans. This involves utilizing validated pain assessment tools, considering patient-reported pain scales, and integrating clinical judgment to accurately gauge pain intensity and quality.

Subsequently, intervention strategies must be multifaceted, integrating pharmacological and non-pharmacological modalities to address pain effectively while minimizing adverse effects and promoting patient safety. Pharmacological interventions may include analgesics such as opioids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), and adjuvant medications, administered judiciously based on pain severity and patient factors. Meanwhile, non-pharmacological approaches encompass techniques like regional anaesthesia, physical therapy, cognitive-behavioural therapy, and integrative therapies to augment pain relief and enhance overall well-being.

1. Introduction

Acute pain management in trauma settings poses a multifaceted challenge, requiring a holistic approach that extends from initial assessment to comprehensive intervention strategies. Amidst the urgency of trauma care, effective pain relief stands as a cornerstone not only for alleviating suffering but also for optimizing patient outcomes and facilitating the recovery process.[1–5]

In the context of trauma, where injuries can range from minor fractures to life-threatening conditions, prompt and accurate assessment of pain severity and characteristics is imperative. Such assessments serve as the foundation for devising tailored treatment plans that address not only pain intensity but also its underlying etiology and individual patient factors. However, the dynamic nature of trauma situations demands flexibility and adaptability in pain management strategies, necessitating a comprehensive framework that encompasses both pharmacological and non-pharmacological modalities.[6–8]

Regional analgesia, a key element within this framework, offers targeted pain relief by blocking nerve conduction in specific anatomical regions. Techniques such as epidural anaesthesia, peripheral nerve blocks, and intrathecal catheters can provide potent analgesia while minimizing systemic side effects commonly associated with systemic opioids. Moreover, regional analgesia holds particular relevance in trauma settings due to its potential to facilitate early mobilization, reduce opioid consumption, and mitigate the risk of chronic pain development—a concern prevalent among trauma survivors.[9–13]

By integrating regional analgesia into the broader context of acute pain management in trauma scenarios, healthcare providers can harness its benefits to enhance patient

* *Corresponding author*.
E-mail address: aksnsdr@gmail.com (A. N. Shetti).

https://doi.org/10.18231/j.ijn.2024.016

comfort, promote faster recovery, and optimize overall outcomes. However, achieving these goals necessitates a multidisciplinary approach that encompasses collaboration among various healthcare professionals, implementation of evidence-based practices, and ongoing assessment and adaptation of treatment strategies to meet individual patient needs.

In light of these considerations, this paper explores the principles and practices underlying a holistic approach to acute pain management in trauma settings, with a particular focus on the role of regional analgesia in optimizing patient care and outcomes. Through an integrated approach that addresses pain comprehensively from assessment to intervention, healthcare providers can strive to alleviate suffering, enhance recovery, and improve the quality of life for trauma patients.[14–19]

2. Pain Mechanisms in Trauma Patients

Pain mechanisms in trauma patients are multifaceted and can involve various physiological and psychological factors. Understanding these mechanisms is crucial for effective pain management in trauma care. Several key mechanisms contribute to pain perception and response in trauma patients:

2.1. Tissue injury and inflammation

Trauma often results in tissue damage, leading to the release of inflammatory mediators such as prostaglandins, bradykinin, and histamine. These substances sensitize nociceptors (pain receptors) and contribute to the activation of pain pathways, resulting in localized pain, swelling, and tenderness at the injury site.

2.2. Nociceptive pain

Nociceptive pain arises from the activation of nociceptors in response to tissue injury or inflammation. Mechanical trauma, thermal injury, or chemical irritation can stimulate these receptors, sending pain signals to the central nervous system (CNS). Nociceptive pain is often described as sharp, throbbing, or aching and is typically localized to the site of injury.

2.3. Neuropathic pain

Neuropathic pain may develop following trauma-induced nerve injury or compression. This type of pain arises from abnormal signalling within the nervous system, characterized by sensations such as burning, tingling, or shooting pain. Neuropathic pain in trauma patients can result from direct nerve damage, compression by hematoma or swelling, or surgical interventions.

2.4. Central sensitization

Severe or prolonged trauma can lead to central sensitization, a process wherein the CNS becomes hypersensitive to pain signals. This heightened sensitivity can amplify pain perception, leading to increased pain intensity, spread of pain to unaffected areas (secondary hyperalgesia), and even persistent pain beyond the expected healing period. Central sensitization may contribute to the development of chronic pain conditions in trauma survivors.

2.5. Psychosocial factors

Trauma patients may experience psychological distress, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which can influence pain perception and coping mechanisms. Psychosocial factors such as fear, catastrophizing, and previous trauma experiences can exacerbate pain intensity and disability, highlighting the importance of addressing the psychological aspects of pain in trauma care.

2.6. Individual variability

Pain perception and response vary among individuals based on genetic predisposition, cultural factors, past experiences, and coping strategies. Factors such as age, sex, comorbidities, and medication use can also influence pain sensitivity and treatment outcomes in trauma patients. By considering factors such as genetic predisposition, cultural background, past experiences, and coping strategies, healthcare providers can customize the choice and administration of regional anaesthesia techniques to optimize pain relief and patient comfort.

3. Assessment of Acute Pain in Trauma Patients

Assessment of acute pain in trauma patients is crucial for effective pain management and improved patient outcomes. Due to the diverse nature of traumatic injuries and individual variability in pain perception, a comprehensive and multidimensional approach to pain assessment is essential.[20–22] Here are key components of assessing acute pain in trauma patients:

3.1. Subjective pain assessment

Directly asking the patient to rate their pain intensity using validated pain scales, such as the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS), Visual Analog Scale (VAS), or Verbal Rating Scale (VRS), is fundamental. Patients should be asked to quantify their pain intensity on a scale from 0 to 10 or choose a descriptive term that best reflects their pain experience.

3.2. Location and radiation

Identifying the precise location of pain and any radiation or referred pain is essential for determining the underlying pathology and selecting appropriate treatment strategies. Trauma patients may experience pain at the site of injury as well as referred pain from adjacent or distant structures.

3.3. Physical examination

Performing a focused physical examination to assess for signs of injury, inflammation, swelling, tenderness, guarding, or neurological deficits complements the subjective pain assessment and aids in identifying the underlying cause of pain. Objective findings on physical examination help corroborate the patient's reported pain experience and guide further diagnostic evaluation.

3.4. Diagnostic investigations

Utilizing diagnostic investigations such as imaging studies (e.g., X-rays, CT scans, MRI) or laboratory tests (e.g., complete blood count, inflammatory markers) may be necessary to confirm or characterize the extent of traumatic injuries, identify associated complications, and guide treatment planning.

4. Benefits of Regional Anaesthesia in Trauma Patients

Regional anaesthesia offers a plethora of advantages in the management of pain for trauma patients. These benefits extend beyond mere analgesia, encompassing improved patient outcomes, enhanced recovery, and reduced risks associated with systemic opioids.[23–26] Here are some key advantages of regional anaesthesia in trauma care:

4.1. Targeted pain relief

Regional anaesthesia techniques such as epidural analgesia, peripheral nerve blocks, and intrathecal catheters provide precise and targeted pain relief by blocking nerve conduction in specific anatomical regions. This localized approach allows for effective pain control while minimizing systemic side effects.

4.2. Reduced systemic opioid requirements

By providing potent analgesia at the site of injury, regional anaesthesia reduces the need for systemic opioids, which are associated with various adverse effects including respiratory depression, sedation, nausea, and constipation. Minimizing opioid use helps mitigate the risk of opioid-related complications and improves overall patient safety.

4.3. Enhanced rehabilitation and mobilization

Effective pain management facilitated by regional anaesthesia promotes early mobilization and rehabilitation efforts in trauma patients. By alleviating pain and discomfort, regional anaesthesia enables patients to participate more actively in physical therapy, thereby accelerating recovery and reducing the risk of complications such as muscle atrophy and venous thromboembolism.

4.4. Improved pulmonary function

Unlike systemic opioids, which can depress respiratory function, regional anaesthesia has minimal impact on pulmonary function. By sparing respiratory drive and reducing the incidence of respiratory complications such as pneumonia and atelectasis, regional anaesthesia contributes to improved postoperative pulmonary outcomes in trauma patients, particularly those with chest trauma or underlying respiratory conditions.

4.5. Preservation of hemodynamic stability

Regional anaesthesia techniques, such as epidural analgesia, can attenuate the stress response to trauma and surgery by blunting sympathetic activation and stabilizing hemodynamics. This hemodynamic stability is particularly beneficial in hemodynamically unstable trauma patients, where maintaining adequate perfusion and oxygenation is paramount for optimizing outcomes.

4.6. Reduced risk of chronic pain

Early and effective pain management with regional anaesthesia may reduce the risk of chronic pain development in trauma survivors. By preventing or minimizing central sensitization and persistent pain states, regional anaesthesia contributes to long-term pain relief and improved quality of life for trauma patients.

4.7. Facilitated surgical care

Regional anaesthesia techniques can be employed as adjuncts to surgical anaesthesia or as the primary anaesthetic modality in trauma surgery. By providing intraoperative and postoperative analgesia, regional anaesthesia enhances surgical conditions, reduces intraoperative opioid requirements, and promotes smoother recovery following surgical interventions.

5. Challenges and Complications Associated with its Use in Trauma Patients

While regional anaesthesia offers numerous benefits in trauma care, there are also several potential challenges and complications associated with its use in trauma patients. Some of the key problems include:

5.1. Anatomical variability

Trauma patients may present with anatomical variations or disruptions due to injuries, making it challenging to identify and access nerve structures for regional blocks. In cases of fractures, soft tissue injuries, or anatomical distortions, locating and targeting nerves accurately can be difficult, potentially leading to inadequate pain relief or unintended nerve injury.

5.2. Hemodynamic instability

Trauma patients often present with hemodynamic instability due to hypovolemia, shock, or other injuries. Regional anaesthesia techniques such as spinal or epidural blocks can cause vasodilation and hypotension, exacerbating hemodynamic instability and compromising tissue perfusion. Careful hemodynamic monitoring and fluid resuscitation are essential to mitigate this risk.

5.3. Coagulopathy

Trauma patients may have coagulopathy due to underlying conditions, medications, or disseminated intravascular coagulation (DIC). Performing regional blocks in patients with coagulopathy poses an increased risk of hematoma formation and nerve injury. Pre-procedural assessment of coagulation status and appropriate correction of abnormalities are crucial to minimize bleeding complications.

5.4. Infection risk

Trauma patients are at an increased risk of infection, particularly in open fractures or contaminated wounds. Regional anaesthesia techniques involve percutaneous needle insertion, which carries a risk of introducing pathogens into the sterile field and causing local infection or systemic sepsis. Strict adherence to aseptic technique and proper wound care are essential to reduce the risk of infection.

5.5. Neurological injury

Despite being rare, neurological complications such as nerve injury, epidural hematoma, or spinal cord injury can occur following regional blocks in trauma patients. Factors such as pre-existing neurological deficits, traumatic spinal cord injury, or inadvertent needle trauma to neural structures increase the risk of neurological complications. Proper patient positioning, meticulous technique, and awareness of anatomical landmarks are essential to minimize the risk of nerve injury.

5.6. Delayed diagnosis of complications

Trauma patients often have multiple injuries and may be unable to communicate effectively due to altered mental status, sedation, or intubation. This can delay the diagnosis of complications associated with regional blocks, such as local anaesthetic toxicity, compartment syndrome, or nerve injury. Close monitoring and frequent neurological assessments are necessary to detect and promptly address any adverse events.

5.7. Drug interactions and side effects

Trauma patients may be receiving multiple medications for pain management, hemodynamic support, or treatment of associated injuries. Drug interactions between regional anaesthetic agents and other medications can potentiate adverse effects or compromise patient safety. Careful consideration of medication regimens and vigilant monitoring for potential side effects are essential to minimize drug-related complications.

6. Use of Continuous Catheters for the Regional Block

The use of continuous catheters alongside regional blocks presents both advantages and potential challenges, particularly in trauma patients.[23,24]

6.1. Prolonged analgesia and conversion for anaesthesia

Continuous catheters allow for the continuous infusion of local anaesthetic agents into the vicinity of nerves, providing prolonged and consistent analgesia beyond the duration of a single bolus injection. This prolonged analgesic effect is particularly beneficial in trauma patients who may require extended pain relief during the acute phase of injury or throughout the postoperative period. These catheters can be used to give anaesthesia at higher concentration of local anaesthetics, hence minimizing the time required to anaesthetize the body part.

6.2. Titration of analgesia

Continuous infusion via catheters enables precise titration of analgesia to meet individual patient needs. Healthcare providers can adjust the infusion rate or concentration of local anaesthetic based on ongoing pain levels, minimizing the risk of under- or over-medication and optimizing pain control while reducing opioid requirements.

6.3. Enhanced patient mobility

Continuous catheters allow trauma patients to maintain mobility and participate in rehabilitation activities while receiving ongoing analgesia. This mobility is essential for promoting early ambulation, reducing the risk of

complications such as deep vein thrombosis and pneumonia, and facilitating the overall recovery process.

6.4. Facilitated multimodal analgesia

Continuous catheters can be integrated into multimodal analgesic regimens, allowing for synergistic combinations of local anaesthetics, adjuvant medications, and non-pharmacological therapies. By complementing systemic analgesics and adjunctive treatments, continuous catheters contribute to a comprehensive and balanced approach to pain management in trauma patients.

6.5. Potential complications

Despite their benefits, continuous catheters carry inherent risks, including infection, catheter dislodgement, and local anaesthetic toxicity. Trauma patients, particularly those with open wounds or contaminated injuries, may be at increased risk of catheter-related infections, necessitating strict adherence to aseptic technique and regular catheter site monitoring.

6.6. Monitoring and surveillance

Continuous catheters require diligent monitoring and surveillance to detect and manage potential complications promptly. Healthcare providers should assess catheter placement, monitor infusion rates, and evaluate patients for signs of infection, local anaesthetic toxicity, or neurological deficits.

7. Various Blocks with Respect to the Body Parts

Cranial nerve blocks are occasionally utilized in trauma patients for specific indications.

7.1. Specific indications

Cranial nerve blocks may be indicated for trauma patients with acute head or facial injuries, such as fractures, contusions, or lacerations involving cranial nerves. Common indications include management of acute facial pain, headache, or neuralgia resulting from trauma-related nerve injury or inflammation.

7.2. Types of cranial nerve blocks

Various cranial nerve blocks can be performed depending on the location and nature of the trauma. Examples include trigeminal nerve blocks for facial pain or headache, occipital nerve blocks for occipital neuralgia or cervicogenic headache, and supraorbital/supratrochlear nerve blocks for forehead and scalp injuries.

7.3. Diagnostic and therapeutic benefits

Cranial nerve blocks can serve both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes in trauma patients. By selectively blocking specific cranial nerves, these blocks can help localize the source of pain, confirm suspected nerve injury, and provide targeted pain relief while minimizing systemic side effects.

7.4. Techniques and considerations

Cranial nerve blocks are typically performed using a combination of anatomical landmarks and image guidance techniques such as ultrasound or fluoroscopy. Special attention should be paid to patient positioning, sterile technique, and the selection of appropriate local anaesthetic agents and volumes to minimize the risk of complications such as vascular injury, nerve damage, or inadvertent intracranial injection.

7.5. Adverse effects and complications

While cranial nerve blocks are generally safe when performed by experienced practitioners, they carry inherent risks such as bleeding, infection, allergic reactions, and neurovascular injury. Trauma patients may be at increased risk of complications due to concomitant injuries, altered anatomy, or impaired coagulation status, necessitating careful patient selection and thorough pre-procedural assessment.

7.6. Multidisciplinary approach

The management of cranial nerve blocks in trauma patients often requires a multidisciplinary approach involving collaboration between anaesthesia providers, neurosurgeons, otolaryngologists, and other specialists.

8. Upper Limb Blocks for the Acute Pain Relief in Trauma Patients

Upper limb blocks play a crucial role in providing acute pain relief for trauma patients with injuries affecting the upper extremities. These blocks target specific nerves or nerve plexuses responsible for innervating the upper limb, offering localized analgesia and facilitating pain management. Here are some common upper limb blocks used for acute pain relief in trauma patients:

8.1. Brachial plexus block

Brachial plexus blocks are among the most commonly performed upper limb blocks in trauma patients. They target the brachial plexus—a network of nerves originating from the cervical and thoracic spinal roots—which innervates the entire upper limb. Common techniques include supraclavicular, infraclavicular, and axillary approaches,

each offering distinct advantages and anatomical targets. Brachial plexus blocks provide comprehensive anaesthesia or analgesia for procedures involving the shoulder, arm, forearm, and hand.

8.2. Interscalene nerve block

The interscalene nerve block targets the brachial plexus at the level of the roots and trunks, providing dense anaesthesia or analgesia to the shoulder, upper arm, and proximal forearm. This block is particularly effective for procedures involving the shoulder joint, such as reduction of shoulder dislocations or surgical repair of fractures.

8.3. Supraclavicular nerve block

The supraclavicular nerve block targets the brachial plexus as it passes through the supraclavicular fossa, providing anaesthesia or analgesia to the entire upper limb. This block offers a high success rate and a relatively large volume of local anaesthetic spread, making it suitable for a wide range of upper limb procedures, including surgical interventions and fracture reductions.

8.4. Infraclavicular nerve block

The infraclavicular nerve block targets the brachial plexus beneath the clavicle, offering anaesthesia or analgesia to the entire upper limb, with a focus on the elbow, forearm, and hand. This block provides excellent motor blockade and is preferred for procedures involving the distal upper extremity, such as hand or wrist surgeries.

8.5. Axillary nerve block

The axillary nerve block targets the brachial plexus as it courses through the axilla, providing anaesthesia or analgesia to the distal upper arm and forearm. This block is commonly used for procedures involving the hand, wrist, or forearm, offering reliable anaesthesia with a lower risk of complications compared to more proximal approaches.

8.6. Digital nerve blocks

Digital nerve blocks target specific digital nerves of the hand, providing localized anaesthesia for procedures such as finger laceration repair or nail avulsion. These blocks are performed at the base of the affected digit and offer precise, targeted analgesia for minor hand injuries.

9. Thoracic Nerve Blocks for the Relief of Acute Pain of Patient with Thoracic Injuries

Thoracic nerve blocks play a crucial role in providing acute pain relief for trauma patients with injuries affecting the thoracic region. These blocks target specific nerves or nerve plexuses in the thoracic area, offering localized analgesia and facilitating pain management. Here are some common thoracic nerve blocks used for acute pain relief in trauma patients:

9.1. Thoracic epidural block

The thoracic epidural block involves the placement of a catheter into the epidural space in the thoracic region. Local anaesthetic agents are then infused through the catheter, providing analgesia for injuries involving the chest wall, ribs, or thoracic spine. Thoracic epidural blocks are effective for managing pain associated with rib fractures, thoracic vertebral fractures, or surgical procedures involving the thorax.

9.2. Paravertebral nerve block

The paravertebral nerve block targets the paravertebral space adjacent to the thoracic vertebrae, where the spinal nerves emerge from the spinal cord. Local anaesthetic agents are injected into this space, providing unilateral or bilateral analgesia for injuries involving the chest wall, ribs, or thoracic spine. Paravertebral blocks offer targeted pain relief with fewer side effects compared to epidural blocks and are commonly used for managing acute thoracic pain following trauma or surgery.

9.3. Intercostal nerve block

Intercostal nerve blocks target the intercostal nerves as they course along the inferior border of the ribs. Local anaesthetic agents are injected into the neurovascular bundle between the ribs, providing segmental analgesia for injuries involving the chest wall or rib fractures. Intercostal nerve blocks can be performed at multiple levels to achieve comprehensive analgesia across the affected thoracic region.

9.4. Serratus anterior plane block (SAPB)

The serratus anterior plane block involves injecting local anaesthetic agents into the plane between the serratus anterior muscle and the rib cage. This block provides analgesia for injuries involving the lateral chest wall, including rib fractures or surgical incisions. SAPB offers a relatively simple and safe technique for providing targeted analgesia in trauma patients with thoracic injuries.

9.5. Transverse thoracic muscle plane block

The transverse thoracic muscle plane block targets the plane between the internal intercostal and transversus thoracis muscles, providing analgesia for injuries involving the anterior chest wall. This block can be performed using either a landmark-based or ultrasound-guided approach and offers effective pain relief for trauma patients with anterior thoracic injuries.

10. Abdominal Nerve Blocks for the Relief of Acute Pain of Patient with Abdominal Injuries

Abdominal nerve blocks are valuable interventions for providing acute pain relief in trauma patients with abdominal injuries. These blocks target specific nerves or nerve plexuses innervating the abdominal wall, offering localized analgesia and facilitating pain management. Here are some common abdominal nerve blocks used for the relief of acute pain in trauma patients with abdominal injuries:

10.1. Transversus abdominis plane (TAP) block

The transversus abdominis plane block involves injecting local anaesthetic agents into the plane between the internal oblique and transversus abdominis muscles, targeting the nerves that innervate the anterior abdominal wall. This block provides analgesia for injuries involving the anterior abdominal wall, such as abdominal wall contusions, lacerations, or surgical incisions. TAP blocks can be performed using ultrasound guidance for precise needle placement and optimal analgesic effect.

10.2. Rectus sheath block

The rectus sheath block targets the nerves within the rectus abdominis muscle sheath, providing analgesia for injuries involving the midline of the abdomen. This block is particularly effective for managing pain associated with midline abdominal incisions, such as those performed during exploratory laparotomy or hernia repair. Rectus sheath blocks offer segmental analgesia and can be performed using a landmark-based or ultrasound-guided approach.

10.3. Subcostal nerve block

Subcostal nerve blocks target the intercostal nerves as they course along the inferior border of the ribs, providing analgesia for injuries involving the upper abdomen. This block is effective for managing pain associated with rib fractures, upper abdominal trauma, or surgical procedures involving the upper abdomen. Subcostal nerve blocks offer comprehensive analgesia across the upper abdominal region and can be performed at multiple levels to target specific areas of injury.

10.4. Interpleural block

The interpleural block involves injecting local anaesthetic agents into the space between the parietal and visceral pleurae, targeting the intercostal nerves as they emerge from the thoracic cavity into the abdominal wall. This block provides unilateral or bilateral analgesia for injuries involving the lower ribs, upper abdomen, or thoracoabdominal region. Interpleural blocks offer effective pain relief for trauma patients with injuries spanning the thoracic and abdominal regions.

10.5. Intraperitoneal local anaesthetic instillation

In select cases, intraperitoneal instillation of local anaesthetic agents can be performed to provide analgesia for injuries involving the intra-abdominal organs or peritoneum. This technique involves instilling local anaesthetic solution directly into the peritoneal cavity during exploratory laparotomy or laparoscopic surgery, offering intraoperative and postoperative pain relief.

11. Lower Limb Nerve Blocks for the Relief of Acute Pain of Patient with Lower Limb Injuries

Lower limb nerve blocks are valuable interventions for providing acute pain relief in trauma patients with lower limb injuries. These blocks target specific nerves or nerve plexuses innervating the lower extremities, offering localized analgesia and facilitating pain management. Here are some common lower limb nerve blocks used for the relief of acute pain in trauma patients:

11.1. Femoral nerve block

The femoral nerve block targets the femoral nerve as it courses through the femoral triangle in the inguinal region. This block provides analgesia for the anterior thigh, knee, and hip joint. Femoral nerve blocks are commonly used for procedures such as femoral fracture reduction, knee arthroscopy, or anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) repair.

11.2. Sciatic nerve block

The sciatic nerve block targets the sciatic nerve as it passes through the posterior thigh, providing analgesia for the posterior thigh, knee, lower leg, and foot. This block is particularly effective for managing pain associated with lower extremity fractures, ankle sprains, or foot injuries. Sciatic nerve blocks can be performed using various approaches, including subgluteal, popliteal, or lateral approaches, depending on the location and extent of injury.

11.3. Popliteal nerve block

The popliteal nerve block targets the branches of the sciatic nerve as they course through the popliteal fossa, providing analgesia for the posterior aspect of the knee, lower leg, and foot. This block is commonly used for procedures such as foot or ankle surgery, Achilles tendon repair, or posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) reconstruction.

11.4. Saphenous nerve block

The saphenous nerve block targets the saphenous nerve as it descends along the medial aspect of the thigh, providing analgesia for the medial thigh, knee, and lower leg. This block is particularly effective for managing pain associated with medial knee injuries, such as medial collateral ligament (MCL) sprains or meniscal tears.

11.5. Ankle blocks

Ankle blocks target the nerves that innervate the ankle joint and surrounding structures, providing analgesia for procedures such as ankle fracture reduction, Achilles tendon repair, or ankle arthroscopy. These blocks can be performed using various techniques, including ankle field blocks, ankle plexus blocks, or specific nerve blocks targeting the deep and superficial peroneal nerves, tibial nerve, or sural nerve.

12. Discussion

The management of acute pain in trauma patients presents a complex clinical challenge, necessitating a shift from traditional, predominantly pharmacological approaches to more holistic strategies. This comprehensive approach not only targets the physiological sources of pain but also addresses the psychological, emotional, and social dimensions of the patient's experience, fostering a more effective and compassionate framework for pain management.[25,26]

Effective pain management begins with a thorough and multifaceted assessment. The physical examination remains crucial for identifying the location, intensity, and nature of the pain, along with any complications that may arise.[27] However, understanding the psychological state of the patient is equally important, as factors such as anxiety, depression, and post traumatic stress disorder can significantly amplify pain perception. Integrating tools for psychological assessment into the standard evaluation process ensures that these dimensions are not overlooked. Social and environmental factors further complicate the pain experience. Patients with strong social support systems often report better pain outcomes and faster recovery. Conversely, those with limited support or facing substantial environmental stressors may experience heightened pain and slower healing. Therefore, a holistic assessment must include a comprehensive evaluation of these factors to inform a tailored and effective intervention strategy.

Pharmacological treatments, while central to acute pain management, have limitations and risks, such as side effects and the potential for dependency. Hence, a holistic approach advocates for the integration of non-pharmacological treatments. Physical therapy plays a pivotal role in this context, promoting mobility and reducing pain through various manual and movement-based therapies.[28] Psychological interventions, including CBT and relaxation techniques, address the mental and emotional facets of pain, providing patients with tools to manage their pain more effectively. Alternative therapies, such as acupuncture, massage, and yoga, offer additional avenues for pain relief and have been shown to improve patient outcomes when used alongside conventional treatments. These therapies often contribute to a greater sense of well-being and can be particularly beneficial in patients who prefer or respond well to non-pharmacological interventions.[29]

Educating patients about their condition and treatment options is an integral component of holistic pain management. When patients are well-informed, they are more likely to engage actively in their treatment plans and adhere to prescribed interventions, which can enhance outcomes. Clear communication between healthcare providers and patients builds trust and ensures that patients feel supported throughout their recovery. Involving family members and caregivers in the pain management process provides essential emotional and practical support, which can be a critical factor in the patient's recovery journey. Social support has been consistently linked to improved health outcomes, highlighting the importance of considering the patient's broader social network in the treatment plan.

Pain management is inherently dynamic, requiring ongoing evaluation and flexibility. Regular reassessment allows for the modification of treatment plans in response to the patient's evolving condition and needs. This iterative process ensures that pain management strategies remain effective and responsive, adapting to changes in pain intensity, psychological state, and social circumstances.[30,31]

13. Conclusion

A holistic approach to acute pain in trauma incorporates a broad spectrum of assessment and intervention strategies. By addressing the physical, psychological, and social aspects of pain, healthcare providers can develop more comprehensive and effective pain management plans. This approach not only alleviates pain more effectively but also enhances the overall well-being and recovery of trauma patients, demonstrating the profound benefits of treating the patient as a whole.

14. Source of Funding

None.

15. Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Kehlet H, Jensen TS, Woolf CJ. Persistent postsurgical pain: risk factors and prevention. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9522):1618–25.

2. Apfelbaum JL, Chen C, Mehta SS, Gan TJ. Postoperative pain experience: results from a national survey suggest postoperative pain continues to be undermanaged. *Anesth Analg*. 2003;97(2):534–40.
3. Raja SN, Carr DB, Cohen M, Finnerup NB, Flor H, Gibson S, et al. The revised International Association for the Study of Pain definition of pain: concepts, challenges, and compromises. *Pain*. 2020;161(9):1976–82.
4. Wu CL, Raja SN. Treatment of acute postoperative pain. *Lancet*. 2011;377(9784):2215–25.
5. Gallagher RM. Primary care and pain medicine. A community solution to the public health problem of chronic pain. *Med Clin North Am*. 1999;83(3):555–83.
6. Nielsen PR, Rudin Å, Werner MU. Prediction of postoperative pain. *Curr Anaesth Crit Care*. 2007;18(3):157–65.
7. Crombie IK, Davies HT, Macrae WA. Cut and thrust: antecedent surgery and trauma among patients attending a chronic pain clinic. *Pain*. 1998;76(1-2):167–71.
8. Woolf CJ. Central sensitization: uncovering the relation between pain and plasticity. *Anesthesiology*. 2007;106(4):864–7.
9. Gerbershagen HJ, Aduckathil S, Van Wijck A, Peelen LM, Kalkman CJ, Meissner W, et al. Pain intensity on the first day after surgery: a prospective cohort study comparing 179 surgical procedures. *Anesthesiology*. 2013;118(4):934–44.
10. Cohen SP, Mao J. Neuropathic pain: mechanisms and their clinical implications. *BMJ*. 2014;348:7656. doi:10.1136/bmj.f7656.
11. Dworkin RH, O'Connor AB, Audette J, Baron R, Gourlay GK, Haanpää ML, et al. Recommendations for the pharmacological management of neuropathic pain: an overview and literature update. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2010;85(3):3–14.
12. Gilron I, Baron R, Jensen T. Neuropathic pain: principles of diagnosis and treatment. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2015;90(4):532–45.
13. Kaye AD, Baluch A, Scott JT. Pain management in the elderly population: a review. *Ochsner J*. 2010;10(3):179–87.
14. Van De Ven T, Hsia HJ. Causes and prevention of chronic postsurgical pain. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2012;18(4):366–71.
15. Kehlet H, Wilmore DW. Evidence-based surgical care and the evolution of fast-track surgery. *Ann Surg*. 2008;248(2):189–98.
16. Macrae WA. Chronic pain after surgery. *Br J Anaesth*. 2001;87(1):88–98.
17. Lavand'homme P. Perioperative pain. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol*. 2006;19(5):548–61.
18. Fisher SP, O'Connor B, Ferrante FM. Perioperative pain management. *Surg Clin North Am*. 1999;79(2):401–30.
19. Zaslansky R, Meissner W. Pain assessment in the intensive care unit. *Minerva Anestesiol*. 2011;77(3):213–8.
20. Eccleston C, Crombez G. Worry and chronic pain: a misdirected problem-solving model. *Pain*. 2007;132(3):233–6.
21. Chapman CR, Tuckett RP, Song CW. Pain and stress in a systems perspective: reciprocal neural, endocrine, and immune interactions. *J Pain*. 2008;9(2):122–45.
22. Gatchel RJ, Peng YB, Peters L, Fuchs PN, Turk D. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions. *Psychol Bull*. 2007;133(4):581–624.
23. Turk DC, Monarch ES. Biopsychosocial perspective on chronic pain. *Psychiatr Clin North Am*. 2002;25(4):715–25.
24. Craig KD. The social communication model of pain. *Can Psychol*. 2009;50(1):22–32.
25. Merrick M, Grange R, Rudd S, Shipway D. Evaluation and Treatment of Acute Trauma Pain in Older Adults. *Drugs Aging*. 2023;40(10):869–80.
26. Ahmadi A, Bazargan-Hejazi S, Zadie ZH, Euasobhon P, Ketumarn P, Karbasfrushan A, et al. Pain management in trauma: A review study. *J Inj Violence Res*. 2016;8(2):89–98.
27. Johnson Q, Borsheski RR, Reeves-Viets JL. Pain management mini-series. Part I. A review of management of acute pain. *Mo Med*. 2013;110(1):74–9.
28. Amaechi O, Huffman MM, Featherstone K. Pharmacologic Therapy for Acute Pain. *Am Fam Physician*. 2021;104(1):63–72.
29. Alorfi NM. Pharmacological Methods of Pain Management: Narrative Review of Medication Used. *Int J Gen Med*. 2023;16:3247–56. doi:10.2147/IJGM.S419239.
30. Wideman TH, Edwards RR, Walton DM, Martel MO, Hudon A, Seminowicz DA, et al. The Multimodal Assessment Model of Pain: A Novel Framework for Further Integrating the Subjective Pain Experience Within Research and Practice. *Clin J Pain*. 2019;35(3):212–21.
31. Feliu-Soler A, Montesinos F, Gutiérrez-Martínez O, Scott W, Mccracken LM, Luciano JV, et al. Current status of acceptance and commitment therapy for chronic pain: a narrative review. *J Pain Res*. 2018;11:2145–59. doi:10.2147/JPR.S144631.

Author biography

Akshaya N Shetti, Professor and HOD

Cite this article: Shetti AN. A holistic approach to acute pain in trauma: From assessment to intervention. *IP Indian J Neurosci* 2024;10(2):69-77.